UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Harrisonburg Division

LUKE WILSON,

Plaintif

Plaintiff : No. 5:21-CV-00055-TTC

 \mathbf{x}

-against-

THE TOWN OF MOUNT JACKSON, CHIEF OF POLICE JEFF STERNER, SERGEANT KEITH COWART, and POLICE OFFICERS CHRISTINA WHORTON, ROBERT YOUNG and MARK JOHNSON of the MOUNT JACKSON POLICE DEPARTMENT, and TODD HOLTZMAN,

:

Defendants,

____x

MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Luke Wilson, moves this Court, under Fed.R.Civ.P. Rule 15(a)(2), for leave to file an Amended Complaint. In support of his motion, Plaintiff states:

- 1. Plaintiff's initial Complaint was filed on August 17, 2021
- 2. A copy of Plaintiff's proposed Amended Complaint is attached as Exhibit A.
- 3. A copy of Plaintiff's proposed Amended Complaint with the proposed changes in redline is attached as Exhibit B.
- 4. Plaintiff is making this motion to amend in respond to the defendants' motions to dismiss and for judgment on the pleadings, which are pending before this Court.
- 5. More particularly, Plaintiff is amending to spell out by name that Offices Robert Young and Mark Johnson were the two officers who knowingly

assisted Officer Whorton in her false arrest and malicious prosecution of Plaintiff.

6. Because Officers Young and Johnson complained that this was a

deficiency in Plaintiff's complaint, Plaintiff is moving to amend.

7. Plaintiff is further adding a citation to Virginia Code Ann. § 8:01-

126(D)(4), so as to make it clear that Plaintiff had a clearly established right to be

in 6091 Main Street on the night he was arrested.

8. The U.S. Supreme Court determined that "[i]n the absence of . . .

undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive . . . undue prejudice . . . futility of

amendment, etc.—the leave sought should . . . be 'freely given." Foman v. Davis,

371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962).

9. In light of the fact that this case has only just begun and the

amendments should be non-controversial, Plaintiff requests that this Court waive

any requirement to file a separate memorandum of law.

10. In addition, the minor additions to the Amended Complaint should not

necessitate any additional briefing on the Motions to Dismiss. To the extent any

additional briefing is required, Defendants can address them in their reply briefs to

their Motions to Dismiss.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Luke Wilson, requests that this Court grant him

leave to file the attached Amended Complaint (Exhibit A).

Dated: December

December 21, 2021

Brooklyn, New York

<u>/s/ Brian L. Bromberg</u>

Brian L. Bromberg

One of Plaintiff's Attorneys

2

Attorneys for Plaintiff

David W. Silek (Virginia Bar No. 47409) Sandground, West, Silek & Raminpour, PLC 8229 Boone Boulevard, Suite 610 Vienna, Virginia 22182

Telephone: (703) 361-9700

Fax: (571) 327-3311

Email: dsilek@sileklaw.com

Thomas R. Breeden (Virginia Bar No. 33410) Thomas R. Breeden, P.C. 10326 Lomond Drive Manassas, Virginia 20109 Telephone: (703) 361-9277

Fax: (703) 337-0441

Email: trb@tbreedenlaw.com

Brian L. Bromberg (admitted *pro hac vice*) Bromberg Law Office, P.C. 352 Rutland Road #1 Brooklyn, New York 11225

Telephone: (212) 248-7906

Fax: (212) 248-7908

Email: brian@bromberglawoffice.com

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on December 21, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ Brian L. Bromberg Brian L. Bromberg